



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,307		12/29/2000	Jerry Dwight Doty II	2705-101	7831
20575	7590	04/22/2005		EXAMINER	
		ON & MCCOLLON	LE, KAREN L		
1030 SW MORRISON STREET PORTLAND, OR 97205				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			2642 .	
				DATE MAILED: 04/22/200	5 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/753,307	DOTY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Karen L Le	2642					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a seply within the statutory minimum of thin dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	January 2005.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	.						
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0- Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is in response to applicant's response filed on January 24, 2003. Claims 1-19 are now pending in the present application. This action is non-final.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1, 6, 9, 10-14 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bruno et al. (U.S. 5,563,882).

Regarding claims 1 and 9, Bruno teaches a method and a computer-readable medium for Switching active calls between entities (fig.4, item 12a and 12b and 12c) on a network device (Fig. 4, switching system), the method comprising:

collecting information about a current call active on a first entity (point-to-point multimedia conference call) while the current call is still active (col. 2, lines 55-60), initializing a second entity (Bridged call) with the information while the current call is still active on the first entity, switching the current call from the first entity to the second entity; and releasing the first entity (Col. 3, lines 11-14).

Regarding claim 6, Bruno further teaches initializing a second entity further comprises initiating a retain sequence on the second entity (Col. 61-65).

Regarding claims 10 and 11, Bruno teaches the computer-readable medium comprises a

downloadable file and image file uploadable into digital signal processor (Col. 3, lines 30-45).

Regarding claims 12 and 14, Bruno further teaches a network device, comprising: At least two means for handling active calls point-to-point and bridged connection.

A means for connecting the means for handling active calls with means for transmitting phone calls; and a means for switching active calls from a first means for handling active calls to another processing means (mcu 36) for handling active calls without interruption, thereby eliminating any active calls on the first means for handling active calls (Col. 3, lines 11-14). The claimed feature of eliminating "any" active call on the first means simply reads on the scenario when only one call exists, and it is the switched to the bridged connection.

Regarding claim 13, Bruno further teaches the device of claim 10 wherein the controller is part of a processor located on one of the entities (Fig. 4, item 32).

Regarding claim 19, Bruno further teaches the means for switching active calls further comprises a controller (Fig. 4, item 32).

Claims 1, 9, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Farris et 4. al. (U.S. 6,574,216).

Claims 1, 9 and 14 are clearly anticipated by Farris. The claimed first entity reads on the internet 50 and the claimed second entity read on the PSTN 10. An active call on the internet may be manually or automatically switched to the PSTN based on quality of the internet

Art Unit: 2642

connection

to PSTN 10 without interruption. See abstract.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-4 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruno et al. (U. S. 5,563,882) in view of Rogers (5,581,462).

Regarding claims 2-4 and 15-18, Bruno does not teach the entities are digital signal processors located within the same module, the entities are modules located on the same card, and the entities are cards in the network device. However, Rogers teaches the entities are digital signal processors located within the same module, the entities are modules located on the same card, and the entities are cards in the network device (Fig.2, items 12, 102, 62, and 92). Rogers teaches a cartridge computer that is removable from the vehicle and a receptacle in the vehicle for receiving the cartridge computer that is called a data transfer unit. The data transfer unit is electrically connected to the main computer. The telephone data processing system can be of the type described as MICA Unit. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use MICA unit of Rogers as entities of Bruno. That is

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/753,307

Art Unit: 2642

a first

Bruno teaches switching an active call from "entity" to a second "entity" when additional resources, not provided by the first entity, are needed. The same applies to the resource being a DSP, card or the like. That is a second DSP or card or module may become and will be used instead of the first DSP, module or card.

7. Claims 5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruno et al. (U. S. 5,563,882)

Regarding claim 5, Bruno does not teach the steps of copying compression dictionary tables from the first entity and loading compression tables in the second entity. However, to achieve a high data rate data compression has always been introduced. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to compress and decompress data while transmission to have larger volume of data.

Bruno does not teach the information about a current call includes modulation and country code. Each country uses different carriers, thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include type of modulation and country code to verify what type of carrier that country uses.

8. Claims 1, 9, 12 and 14 read on well-known feature.

Claims 1, 9, 12 and 14 are rejected because they read on a simple transfer of a phone call.

Claims 1, 9, 12 and 14 teach a method, a computer-readable medium and a network device for switching active calls between entities (entities can be agents in Automatic call distribution system) on a network device, the method comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/753,307

Art Unit: 2642

collecting information about a current call active on a first entity (first agent) while the

current call is still active, initializing a second entity (second agent) with the information while

the current call is still active on the first entity, switching the current call from the first entity to

the second entity (transfer the call from first agent to second agent); and releasing the first entity

(release the first agent).

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Crystal Park II, Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington, VA 22202

Application/Control Number: 09/753,307

Art Unit: 2642

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen Le whose telephone number is 703-308-4998. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

Karen Le KLL

April 15, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700